

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| | | | |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
| 09/127,256 | 07/31/98 | ELKINS | W DR207 |

C. MICHAEL ZIMMERMAN
P.O. BOX 50723
PALO ALTO CA 94303

QM02/1109

EXAMINER

LEO,L

ART UNIT
3743

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/09/99 10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 09/127,256 | Applicant(s) Elkins |
| | Examiner Leonard R. Leo | Group Art Unit 3743 |

Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 27, 1999

This action is **FINAL**.

Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

Claim(s) 1, 3-6, 8-10, and 12-24 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

Claim(s) 1, 3-6, 8-10, and 12-24 is/are rejected.

Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is approved disapproved.

The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

received.

received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

Interview Summary, PTO-413

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 3743

The request filed on September 27, 1999 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/127,256 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows. Claims 1, 3-6, 8-10 and 12-24 are pending.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-6, 8-10 and 12-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Prior Art Figure 2 in view of Haugeneder.

Applicant's Prior Art Figure 2 discloses all the claimed limitations except first and second lines intersecting at an angle of 70 to 110 degrees.

Haugeneder discloses a heat exchange panel comprising a first layer and second layer having a border seal; a first port 1 and second port 2; a plurality of dot matrix of attachments 3-6 arranged into first and second imaginary lines crossing at 90 degrees for the purpose of achieving a desired heat exchange by providing optimum flow resistance and flow (column 3, lines 43-50).

Art Unit: 3743

Since Applicant's Prior Art Figure 2 and Haugeneder are both from the same field of endeavor and/or analogous art, the purpose disclosed by Haugeneder would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Applicant's Prior Art Figure 2.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Applicant's Prior Art Figure 2 first and second imaginary lines of dot matrix of attachments crossing at an angle of 90 degrees for the purpose of achieving a desired heat exchange as recognized by Haugeneder.

Regarding claims 2, 7, 11 and 16, Haugeneder discloses the first and second lines intersect with the nominal direction of flow 8 at about 34 degrees (gleaned from Figure 1).

Regarding claims 3-5, 8-9, 12-14 and 17-24, Applicant's Prior Art Figure 2 meets the claimed limitations.

Regarding claims 6-9, the method of manufacturing claims are met by the combination of Applicant's Prior Art Figure 2 and Haugeneder.

Regarding claims 10-14, the method of operating claims are met by the combination of Applicant's Prior Art Figure 2 and Haugeneder.

Regarding claims 15-19, to employ the device of the combination of Applicant's Prior Art Figure 2 and Haugeneder in a well known system (e.g. Elkins et al) requires only routine skill in the art.

No further comments are deemed necessary at this time.

Art Unit: 3743

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is (703) 308-2611.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Leonard R. Leo
LEONARD R. LEO
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3743

November 5, 1999